



## Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report

**Project Title:** Supply, Installation, and Configuration of ICT Server Hardware, and Software License for the Port of Walvis Bay

**Procurement Reference Number: G/ONB/NAMPORT-3481/2021**

1. Scope of Contract: Supply Goods & Services Contract
2. Procurement method used: Open National Bidding (ONB)
3. Date of Invitation of Bids: 20 October 2021
4. Closing date for submission of bids: 19 November 2021 @12h00 PM
5. Date and place of opening of bids: 19 November 2021 @12h10
6. Number of bids received by closing date: Ten (10)
7. Responsiveness of bids:

Bidder's Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons Why Bid is Not Responsive
Mobile Telecommunications Limited	15 477 391.30	No	#1 Bidder submitted a signed, dated but <u>not duly</u> completed Bid Submission Form, where the bidder responded:  1. Did not complete required information under bullet (a), (c) and (g).



			<p><b>#2</b> The bidder did not submit annexure 1A and 1B.</p> <p>As per the instruction in <b>Price and Completion Schedule - Related Services Forms</b> the Bidder was required to <b>complete annexures 1A and 1B, and signed, stamped and date.</b></p> <p><b>#3</b> Bidder did not submit Written statement by a power of attorney (or notary statement, etc.) proving that the person, who signed the bid on behalf of the company is duly authorized to do so.</p> <p>This is a material omission pursuant to <b>ITB Clause 31.2(c)</b> <i>“if rectified, would unfairly affect the competitive position of other bidders presenting substantially responsive bids.”</i> as there is no written confirmation authorizing the signatory of the Bid to commit the Bidder, which limits in a substantial way, inconsistent with the Bidding Documents the Bidder’s obligations under the Contract.</p>
<p><b>Business Connexion Namibia (Pty)Ltd</b></p>	<p><b>9 094 830.00</b></p>	<p><b>No</b></p>	<p><b>#1</b> Bidder did not submit certifications of the specialist, which is a mandatory technical</p>



			requirement. In accordance with the technical evaluation criteria this is an automatic fail criteria if requirements are not met.
<b>Onix Business Solutions</b>	<b>9 747 439.85</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>#1</b> The bidder complies to all requirements.
<b>LexTechnologies (Pty) Ltd</b>	<b>10,136,336.62</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>#1</b> The bidder did not meet the mandatory technical specification requirements.  <b>#2</b> Bidder did not submit certifications of the specialist, which is a mandatory technical requirement.
<b>Complete Enterprise Solutions Namibia (Pty) Ltd</b>	<b>9 227 831.70</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>#1</b> Bidder submitted a signed, dated but <u>not duly</u> completed Bid Submission Form, where the bidder responded:  1. Did not complete required information under bullet (j).  <b>#2</b> Bidder did not submit the support agreement requested in annexure 2 bullet 1.10.
<b>BPI Technologies CC</b>	<b>18 985 011.77</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>#1</b> Bidder submitted the Bid Submission Form with second page omitted that should have included bullet points (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), (m) as well the signature, capacity, name, and date.



			<p>This is a material omission pursuant to <b>ITB Clause 31.2(c)</b> <i>“if rectified, would unfairly affect the competitive position of other bidders presenting substantially responsive bids”</i> as the missing page is material to confirm in completeness of the bid submission form.</p>
<b>Iritron Technical Solutions CC</b>	<b>13 282 500.00</b>	<b>No</b>	<p><b>#1</b> The bidder did not meet the mandatory technical specification requirements.</p> <p><b>#2</b> Bidder did not submit valid Manufacturer Authorization, provided from the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM)</p> <p><b>#3</b> Bidder did not submit certifications of the specialist, which is a mandatory technical requirement.</p>
<b>G-Mobility Investment Technology cc</b>	<b>17,654,030.16</b>	<b>No</b>	<p><b>#1</b> Bidder submitted the Bid Submission Form with first page entirely not completed that should have included bullet points (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g) as well Date of Bid Submission.</p> <p>This is a material omission pursuant to <b>ITB Clause 31.2(c)</b> <i>“if rectified, would unfairly affect the competitive</i></p>



			<p><i>position of other bidders presenting substantially responsive bids” as the missing page is material to confirm in completeness of the bid submission form.</i></p> <p><b>#2</b> The bidder did not submit annexure 1A and 1B.</p> <p>As per the instruction in <b>Price and Completion Schedule - Related Services Forms</b> the Bidder was required to <b><i>complete annexures 1A and 1B, and signed, stamped and date.</i></b></p>
Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	11,032,620.50	No	<p><b>#1</b> Bidder submitted a signed, dated but <u>not duly</u> completed Bid Submission Form, where the bidder responded:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Did not complete required information under bullet (a), (c) and (g).</li> </ol> <p>As per the instruction in Bid Submission Form under bullet (a), (c) and (g), the bidder omitted the required information.</p> <p><b>#2</b> Bidder did not submit Written statement by a power of attorney (or notary statement, etc.) proving that the person, who signed the bid on behalf of the company is duly authorized to do so.</p>



			<p>This is a material omission pursuant to <b>ITB Clause 31.2(c)</b> “<i>if rectified, would unfairly affect the competitive position of other bidders presenting substantially responsive bids.</i>”</p> <p>as there is no written confirmation authorizing the signatory of the Bid to commit the Bidder, which limits in a substantial way, inconsistent with the Bidding Documents the Bidder’s obligations under the Contract.</p>
<b>EMA Tech &amp; Services</b>	<b>39 12 282.38</b>	<b>No</b>	<p><b>#1</b> The bidder did not meet the mandatory technical specification requirements.</p> <p><b>#2</b> Bidder did not submit valid Manufacturer Authorization, provided from the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM)</p> <p><b>#3</b> Bidder did not submit certifications of the specialist, which is a mandatory technical requirement.</p>


8. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive:

<b>Name</b>	<b>A. Price at Bid Opening N\$</b>	<b>B. Bid Price After Corrections</b>	<b>C. Price After Adjustments N\$</b>	<b>D. Price After Margin of Preference [If Applicable]</b>	<b>Rank</b>
<b>Onix Business Solutions</b>	<b>9 747 439.85</b>	<b>10,001,273.40</b>			<b>1</b>



9. Best Evaluated Bid:

**Onix Business Solutions** for the total value of NAD **10,001,273.40** VAT exclusive.



---

**Chairperson:** Henoch Gariseb

Manager: IT Systems and Services

**Date:** 21/12/2021